UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,567	10/23/2006	Xiaodong Zhao	57152/D587	4842
77399 Leydig, Voit &	7590 01/29/200 Mayer, Ltd	EXAMINER		
(for Huawei Te	chnologies Co., Ltd)	TRAN, QUOC DUC		
180 North Stets	Plaza Suite 4900 on Avenue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 606	501	2614		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/568,56	7	ZHAO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Quoc D. T		2614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	05 November 21	ากล					
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 November 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
ا ا	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 9-19 is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>——</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ınd/or election re	equirement.					
	on Papers							
	•	minor						
•	The specification is objected to by the Exa		abjected to by the	Evaminar				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		-		FD 4 404(d)			
44\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•		-	, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/568,567 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 9-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malik (6,748,067) in view of Dahari (2004/0076281).

Consider claim 9, Malik teach a method for providing a service, comprising:

A. receiving a call request for a service from a user terminal, initiating a service logic (the initiating is read by checking to see whether the service is not blocked) and determining whether the user terminal has customized prepaid service (the "customized prepaid" is treated as applied or registered for a prepaid service as alleged by applicant) by a Service Control Point (SCP) (col. 3 lines 30-57, determining whether caller has prepaid subscription),

B. providing, by the service logic, the service to the user terminal, charging the service, and invoking a prepaid service logic for deducting, according to a result of the charging from a prepaid account (col. 3 line 59 – col. 4 line 8).

Malik disclosed of providing Internet service via prepaid or pay-per-use basis. Malik did not suggest of providing *prepaid Virtual Private Network (VPN) service*. However, Dahari disclosed of a system and method for integrating multi services that provides combined prepaid and VPN. Thus providing prepaid VPN services (see paragraphs 0018, 0050).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Dahari into view of Malik for the purpose of integrating various types of services in order to implementing prepaid service with various types of network access services such as VPN.

Consider claims 10-11, as discussed above, Malik teaches the claimed features (col. 3 lines 35-40, col. 4 lines 10-24).

Consider claims 12-16, as discussed above, Malik teaches the claimed features (col. 7 lines 4-25).

Consider claims 17-19, as discussed above, Malik teaches the claimed features (col. 7 lines 52-63).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/5/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the claims are not obvious to a person skilled in the art in view of Malik and Dahari, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985). Secondly, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have

Application/Control Number: 10/568,567 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop _____(explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Application/Control Number: 10/568,567

Art Unit: 2614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Quoc Tran** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7511**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 4:30 PM.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached on **(571) 272-7499**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600** whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Quoc D Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614 January 27, 2009